



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Jh

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/754,483  | 01/04/2001  | Shigefumi Odaohhara  | JP919990215US1      | 3573             |
| 45503   | 7590        | 04/06/2005           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| DILLON & YUDELL LLP<br>8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.,<br>SUITE 2110<br>AUSTIN, TX 78759 |             |                      | CHANG, ERIC         |                  |
|   |             | ART UNIT             |                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             | 2116                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                 |                      |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)         |
|                              | 09/754,483      | ODAOHHARA, SHIGEFUMI |
| Examiner                     | Art Unit        |                      |
| Eric Chang                   | 2116            |                      |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 January 2005.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                            2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 6-10, 12 and 13 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 6-10, 12 and 13 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 6-10, 12 and 13 are pending.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-5 of the Appeal Brief, filed January 19, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 6-10, 12 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in further view of U.S. Patent 5,498,984 to Schaffer.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,150,798 to Ferry et al., in view of U.S. Patent 5,498,984 to Schaffer.

5. As to claim 1, Ferry discloses a voltage converter comprising a first and a second power supply circuit [FIG. 3, elements 11 and 12] each capable of converting an input voltage into an output voltage [col. 1, lines 5-7], and means for providing a control signal [FIG. 3, element 13] to activate one of the power supply circuits based on an amount of voltage supplied to said first

and second power supply circuits [col. 3, lines 18-39]. Ferry further discloses that the power supply circuits are disposed in parallel [FIG. 3, and col. 4, lines 37-44], wherein only one voltage regulator is active at a time [col. 3, lines 27-35].

Ferry teaches the limitations of the claim, including activating either said first or second power supply circuit based on detecting the amount of voltage supplied to the power supply circuits [col. 6, lines 44-51], and although voltage and current are directly proportional characteristics of electric flow, Ferry does not specifically teach detecting the amount of current supplied.

Schaffer teaches that it is well known in the art to use a current sense amplifier to measure the amount of current supplied to a power supply in various types of electronic equipment [col. 1, lines 9-15]. Thus, Schaffer teaches power supply detection similar to that of Ferry. Schaffer further teaches detecting the flow of current from a battery to a load [col. 8, lines 19-22], and that a current level can be easily converted to a voltage level [col. 8, lines 35-36].

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the power supply detection means as taught by Schaffer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the current being supplied to a voltage converter can be converted into a voltage for determining which of the two power supply circuits should be activated.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of detecting power being supplied from power source such as a battery in order to properly supply said power to electronic equipment. Moreover, the power supply detection means taught by Schaffer would improve the

design of Ferry because it allowed for a more efficiently designed current sense amplifier for the detection of current [col. 1, lines 51-59], such as the current supplied to a power supply circuit.

6. As to claim 2, Ferry discloses the first power supply circuit is a linear, or series, power supply circuit [12], and the second power supply circuit is a switching power supply circuit [11].

7. As to claim 3, Ferry discloses the first power supply circuit is efficient during a low load demand [col. 2, lines 38-46], and the second power supply circuit is efficient during a high load demand [col. 2, lines 34-38].

8. As to claim 4, Ferry discloses the first power supply is activated when the load demand is low, and the second power supply is activated when the load demand is high [col. 7, lines 32-39].

9. As to claim 5, Ferry discloses the load demand is low when the voltage converter is in a suspended state, and high when the voltage converter is in a non-suspended state [col. 6, lines 55-61].

10. As to claim 6, Ferry discloses a voltage converter comprising a first and a second power supply circuit [FIG. 3, elements 11 and 12] each capable of converting an input voltage into an output voltage [col. 1, lines 5-7], and a detecting circuit [FIG. 3, element 13] to activate one of the power supply circuits based on an amount of current supplied to the power supply circuit, for example, from a battery [2]. Ferry further discloses that the power supply circuits are disposed

in parallel [FIG. 3, and col. 4, lines 37-44], wherein only one voltage regulator is active at a time [col. 3, lines 40-42].

11. As to claim 7, Ferry discloses the first power supply circuit is a linear, or series, power supply circuit [12], and the second power supply circuit is a switching power supply circuit [11].

12. As to claim 8, Ferry discloses the first power supply circuit is efficient during a low load demand [col. 2, lines 38-46], and the second power supply circuit is efficient during a high load demand [col. 2, lines 34-38].

13. As to claim 9, Ferry discloses the first power supply is activated when the voltage amount available from a battery is lower than a predetermined threshold, and the second power supply is activated when the voltage amount is higher than a predetermined threshold [col. 6, lines 62-65]. Schaffer teaches detecting a current supplied from a battery [col. 8, lines 19-22], and that a detected current level can be easily converted to a voltage level [col. 8, lines 35-36].

14. As to claim 10, Ferry discloses the current amount is low when the voltage converter is in a suspended state, and high when the voltage converter is in a non-suspended state [col. 6, lines 55-61].

15. As to claims 11 and 12, Ferry discloses the first and second power supply share a common voltage input [FIG. 3, element 2/Vbat] and common voltage output [FIG. 3, element S/Vout].

16. As to claim 13, Schaffer discloses using a current sense amplifier to detect the amount of current supplied to various types of electronic equipment [col. 1, lines 9-15].

### ***Conclusion***

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 1, 2005  
ec

*James K. Trujillo*  
EXAMINER 2100  
JAMES K. TRUJILLO